School-based After-school Learning and Support Programmes 2014/15 s.y. School-based Grant - Programme Report

Name of School:	SKH Tsang Shiu Tim Secondary School			
Project Coordinator:	Mr. Fung Wing Hong	Contact Telephone No.:	26975566	
A. The number of students full-grant recipients an	s (count by heads) benefitted under this programme d C under school's discretionary quota).	e is 36 (including A.	1 CSSA recipients, B. 35	SFAS

B. Information on Activities under the Programme

*Name / Type of activity	Actual no. of participating eligible students #		ting e	Average attendance rate	Period/Date activity held	Actual expenses (\$)	Method(s) of evaluation (e.g. test, questionnaire, etc)	Name of partner/ service provider (if applicable)	Remarks if any (e.g. students' learning and affective outcome)	
	A	В	С							
Language Training		3		90	9/2014-5/2015	1390	Assessments' result			
Cultural Activities		9		90	9/2014-5/2015	5250	Questionnaires			
Sports Activities		6		90	9/2014-5/2015	617	Questionnaires			
Visit and outdoor Activities		7		100	9-11/2014	499	Questionnaires			
Leadership Training		4		100	1/2015	750	Questionnaires	YWCA		
2015 四川綿陽市	1	5		100	4/2015	16000	Questionnaires	華恩基金會		
華恩角聲兒童村服務體驗團										
Youth to Sustainability		1		100	3/2015	800	Questionnaires			
Summit 2015										
Total no. of activities:										

@No. of man-times	1	35	
**Total no. of man-times			

Total Expenses	25306
----------------	-------

Note:

^{*} Name/type of activities are categorized as follows: tutorial service, learning skill training, languages training, visits, art /culture activities, sports, self-confidence development, volunteer service, adventure activities, leadership training, and communication skills training courses.

[@] Man-times: refers to the aggregate no. of benefitted students participating in each activity listed above.

^{**} Total no. of man-times: the aggregate of man-times (A) + (B) + (C)

[#] Eligible students: students in receipt of CSSA (A), SFAS full grant (B) and disadvantaged students identified by the school under the discretionary quota (C).

C. Project Effectiveness

In general, how would you rate the achievements of the activities conducted to the benefitted eligible students?

	Improved			No		Not
Please put a "✓" against the most appropriate box.	Significant	Moderate Slight		Change	Declining	Applicable
Learning Effectiveness						
a) Students' motivation for learning		$\sqrt{}$				
b) Students' study skills		$\sqrt{}$				
c) Students' academic achievement		$\sqrt{}$				
d) Students' learning experience outside classroom						
e) Your overall view on students' learning effectiveness		$\sqrt{}$				
Personal and Social Development						
f) Students' self-esteem		$\sqrt{}$				
g) Students' self-management skills		$\sqrt{}$				
h) Students' social skills		$\sqrt{}$				
i) Students' interpersonal skills	V					
j) Students' cooperativeness with others		$\sqrt{}$				
k) Students' attitudes toward schooling		$\sqrt{}$				
1) Students' outlook on life	$\sqrt{}$					
m) Your overall view on students' personal and social development	$\sqrt{}$					
Community Involvement					I .	
n) Students' participation in extracurricular and voluntary activities	√					
o) Students' sense of belonging		$\sqrt{}$				
p) Students' understanding on the community		$\sqrt{}$				
q) Your overall view on students' community involvement						

Problems/difficulties encountered when implementing the project (You may tick more than one box) unable to identify the eligible students (i.e., students receiving CSSA, SFAS full grant); difficult to select suitable non-eligible students to fill the discretionary quota; eligible students unwilling to join the programmes; the quality of service provided by partner/service provider not satisfactory; tutors inexperienced and student management skills unsatisfactory; the amount of administrative work leads to apparent increase on teachers' workload; complicated to fulfill the requirements for handling funds disbursed by EDB; the reporting requirements too complicated and time-consuming;

E. Do you have any feedback from students and their parents? Are they satisfied with the service provided? (optional)

Others (Please specify):

Last year, 36 students had been subsidized. In the evaluation survey, all students acknowledged that they could experience the joy and were benefit from participating the activities. About half of them said that they wouldn't participate the activity if they couldn't get the subsidy.